UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 7/11/67
HOUTZOFF		X	cr 3/57 (cm)(mHD)
•	Plaintiff(s),		(CM) ()
-against-	DAFDIC		
UNITED ORTHO	Defendant(s).	X	
and cases	CIVIL CASE MAN cases except patent, IE subject to the Private to be tried to a jury.	DEA and ERISA be Securities Litigation	enefits cases,
	arties may be joined af		motice.
	ion that the issue of quounsel representing any ith the special procedure.	J.S.C. § 1983: In liabilitied immunity states defendant who interest forth in Judg	keeping with the United States should be decided before tends to claim qualified
	ment on the ground of	qualified immunit	ty rules constitutes a waiver of y prior to trial. Please identify.
discrimination or medical shall be completed by including expert discovery	PI " means that the partie	sonal injury, civil): Plaintiff's depo EASE NOTE: the es must select and	rights, employment sition shall be taken first, and e phrase "all discovery,

Case 1:07-cv-03157-CM-MHD Document 12

Filed 07/08/08/09/00 \ \Page 1 of 3

the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by 12/7/07; Defendant(s) expert report(s) by 11/11 07

- 7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nvsd.uscourts.gov.
- 8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate Dollars for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro se cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- 9. A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in limine motions), shall be submitted on or before 1 22 / Sollowing submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In limine motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.
- 10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.
- 11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foreseeable at the time this order is entered. Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Dated: 6/29/2007 New York, New York

Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Colleen McMahon
United States District Judge